

Institutional Research/Effectiveness Offices: Providing Leadership for SACSCOC Accreditation

Denise York Young, Ph.D. Vice President SACSCOC

March 26,2021

1

# **Topics**

- Overview of SACSCOC
- Discussion of selected standards from the *Principles* of Accreditation
- Leadership for Accreditation

2

# What is SACSCOC?

The Southern Association of Colleges and Schools Commission on Colleges is the regional body for the accreditation of <u>degreegranting</u> higher education institutions in the Southern states. It serves as the common denominator of <u>shared values and practices</u> among the <u>diverse institutions</u> in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and Latin America and other international sites approved by the Commission on Colleges that award associate, baccalaureate, master's, or doctoral degrees. The Commission also accepts applications from other international institutions of higher education.



4

# Classification of Institutions

Type of Control

Public 472

Private Not-For-Profit 300

Private For-Profit

5

# Classification of Institutions

Highest Degree Offered

Level I Associate's degree - 245
Level II Bachelor's degree - 108
Level III Master's degree - 127
Level IV Specialist degree - 16

Level V Doctorate in 3 or fewer disciplines - 147
Level VI Doctorate in 4 or more disciplines - 138

# **SACSCOC Board of Trustees**

- 77 persons (called Trustees)
- Three institutional representatives from each state (33)
- One public member from each state (11)
- One international member (1)
- Thirty-two at large representatives from member institutions (32)
- Represent public, private and for-profit institutions
- Represent all levels of member institutions
- Meet twice a year in June and in December
- Include presidents, other administrators, faculty from member institutions

7

# SACSCOC Standards – 14 Sections

- 1. Principle of Integrity
- 2. Mission
- 3. Basic Eligibility
- 4. Governing Board
- 5. Administration & Organization
- 6. Faculty
- 7. Institutional Planning & Effectiveness
- 8. Student Achievement

- 9. Educational Program Structure & Content
- 10. Educational Policies, Procedures & Practices
- 11. Library & Learning/Information Resources
- 12. Academic & Student Support Services
- 13. Financial & Physical Resources
- 14. Transparency & Institutional Representation

8

# Section 6: Faculty

	Ī	
Standard 6.1		
The institution employs an adequate number of full-		
time faculty members to support the mission and goals of the institution. (Full-time faculty) [CR]		
Guideline: Full-time Faculty – 6.1 and 6.2.b (June 2019)		
10		
Standard 6.2		
Standard 6.2 For each of its educational programs, the institution		
For each of its educational programs, the institution  a. justifies and documents the qualifications of its faculty members. (Faculty qualifications)  b. employs a sufficient number of full-time faculty members to		
For each of its educational programs, the institution  a. justifies and documents the qualifications of its faculty members. (Faculty qualifications)  b. employs a sufficient number of full-time faculty members to ensure curriculum and program quality, integrity, and review.		
<ul> <li>For each of its educational programs, the institution</li> <li>a. justifies and documents the qualifications of its faculty members. (Faculty qualifications)</li> <li>b. employs a sufficient number of full-time faculty members to ensure curriculum and program quality, integrity, and review. (Program faculty)</li> <li>c. assigns appropriate responsibility for program coordination.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>For each of its educational programs, the institution</li> <li>a. justifies and documents the qualifications of its faculty members. (Faculty qualifications)</li> <li>b. employs a sufficient number of full-time faculty members to ensure curriculum and program quality, integrity, and review. (Program faculty)</li> </ul>		

Section 7: Institutional Planning and Effectiveness

Standard 7.1	
The institution engages in ongoing, comprehensive, and integrated research-based planning and evaluation	
processes that (a) focus on institutional quality and effectiveness and (b) incorporate a systematic review of	
institutional goals and outcomes consistent with its mission. (Institutional Planning) [CR]	·
13	
Standard 7.2	
The institution has a Quality Enhancement Plan that (a) has a topic identified through its ongoing, comprehensive planning	
and evaluation processes; (b) has broad-based support of institutional constituencies; (c) focuses on improving specific	
student learning outcomes and/or student success; (d) commits resources to initiate, implement and complete the	
QEP; and (e) includes a plan to assess achievement. (Quality Enhancement Plan)	
14	
6. 1.170	
Standard 7.3	
The institution identifies expected outcomes of its administrative support services and demonstrates the	
extent to which the outcomes are achieved.  (Administrative effectiveness)	
( tarrimistrative ejjectiveness)	

Section 8: Student Achivement

16

# Standard 8.1

The institution identifies, evaluates, and publishes goals and outcomes for student achievement appropriate to the institution's mission, the nature of the students it serves, and the kinds of programs offered. The institution uses multiple measures to document student success. (Student achievement) [CR]

Interpretation of 8.1 – Student Achievement (Dec 2019)

17

# Standard 8.2

The institution identifies expected outcomes, assesses the extent to which it achieves these outcomes, and provides evidence of seeking improvement based on analysis of the results in the areas below:

- a. student learning outcomes for each of its educational programs. (Student outcomes: educational programs)
- b. student learning outcomes for collegiate-level general education competencies of its undergraduate degree programs. (Student outcomes: general education)
- c. academic and student services that support student success. (Student outcomes: academic and student services)

# **Selected Resources from SACSCOC**

- Resource Manual for the 2018 Principles of Accreditation: Foundations for Quality Enhancement (Dec 2020)
- Guideline: Full-time Faculty 6.1 and 6.2.b (June 2019)
- Interpretation on 6.2.a Faculty Qualifications (Dec 2020)
- Interpretation of 8.1 Student Achievement (Dec 2019)
- Interpretation of 8.2.a Student Outcomes: Educational Programs (Sep 2020)
- Interpretation on Sampling (Dec 2020)
- The Impact of the Global Pandemic on Institutional Planning and Assessment (2020)

19

# **Leadership for Accreditation**

20

# Reframing

- Frame is the perspective from which institutional life is viewed
- Deliberate process of shifting perspectives to see same situation in multiple ways and through different lenses

Bolman & Gallos, (2011), Reframing Academic Leadership

Structural Fra	me
Metaphor	Factory
Images	Architect, Analyst, Systems Designer
Basic Leadership Tasks	Divide work & coordinate pieces
Leadership Currency	Clarity
Frame Emphasis	Formal roles & relationships
Key Leadership Assumptions	Specialization, clarity & control → improvement
Excerpted from Bolman & Gallos (20	D11), p 50.

Political Frame		
Metaphor	Jungle	
Images	Advocate, Negotiator, Strategist	
Basic Leadership Tasks	Bargain, build coalitions, set agendas, manage conflict	
Leadership Currency	Empowerment	
Frame Emphasis	Allocation of power & scarce resources	
Key Leadership Assumptions	Resources are scarce, and will always have differences & conflict	
Excerpted from Bolman & Gallos	s (2011), p 72.	

Human Reso	ource Frame	
Metaphor	Extended Family	
Images	Servant, Catalyst, Coach	
Basic Leadership Tasks	Facilitate alignment between individual & organizational needs	
Leadership Currency	Care	
Frame Emphasis	Satisfaction, motivation, professional development, empowerment	
Key Leadership Assumptions	Need each other, productive relationships, learning	
Excerpted from Bolman & Gallos (2011), p 93.		

### **Symbolic Frame** Metaphor Theatre, Temple Images Artist, Prophet Basic Leadership See possibilities, create common Tasks vision & meaning, infuse passion & Leadership Currency Hope & promise Frame Emphasis Meaning, purpose, values Key Leadership Different interpretations to Assumptions experiences; meaning-making & culture are institutional glue

How can these four frames be incorporated into these activities at your institution?

• Strategic Planning

**Reframing Exercise** 

- SACSCOC Compliance Certification
- QEP









26

25

# **Structural Frame Leaders**

- Structure their own work
- Structure their organizations
- Structure the change process



Bolman & Gallos, (2011), Reframing Academic Leadership, p 53

# **Political Frame Leaders**

- Set agendas
- Map the political terrain
- Network and build coalitions



Bolman & Gallos, (2011), Reframing Academic Leadership, p 77

28

# **Human Resource Frame Leaders**

Build liberating campus environments through

- Open communication
- Empowerment





• Hiring the right people

Bolman & Gallos, (2011), Reframing Academic Leadership, p 94

29

# **Symbolic Frame**

Leaders construct meaning and foster hope and faith by

- Building on the past for an exciting new view of future
- Leading by example
- Constructing a heroic narrative & telling it often
- Leveraging the power in ritual & ceremony

Bolman & Gallos, (2011), Reframing Academic Leadership, p 117

# Pointers for Leading Well Build capacity for stress. Prioritize attention to the objective (reality) and minimize attention to the subjective (what ifs). Your being overwhelmed is not good news. Herald good news. Surmount criticism or pointed attacks from others. Don't take it personally and don't retaliate. Never retaliate. Hesitate. Do not push the send button. Sleep on it. You cannot unsay a cruel word. Be the voice of a leader, an energizer, an envoy, an intellectual. Promote values and mobilize people by sounding like a leader.



# **Links to SACSCOC Documents Referenced in Powerpoint**

• Resource Manual for the 2018 Principles of Accreditation: Foundations for Quality Enhancement (Dec 2020)

https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2019/08/2018-POA-Resource-Manual.pdf

- Guideline: Full-time Faculty 6.1 and 6.2.b (June 2019) https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2019/08/Full-time-Faculty\_Guideline.pdf
- Interpretation on 6.2.a Faculty Qualifications (Dec 2020)
   https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2020/12/Interpretation-on-6.2.a.pdf
- Guideline: Faculty Credentials (Apr 2018)
   https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2019/07/faculty-credentials.pdf
- *Interpretation of 8.1 Student Achievement* (Dec 2019) https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2020/01/Interpret-CR-8.1.pdf
- Interpretation of 8.2.a Student Outcomes: Educational Programs (Sep 2020) https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2020/09/Interpretation-8.2.a.pdf
- Interpretation on Sampling (Dec 2020)
   https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2020/12/Interpretation-Sampling.pdf
- The Impact of the Global Pandemic on Institutional Planning and Assessment (2020)
   https://sacscoc.org/app/uploads/2020/05/Institutional-Planning-and-Assessment-During-Pandemic.pdf